



Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no person are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Effective on 12/08/2004. Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818). FEE TRANSMITTAL For FY 2005		Complete if Known	
<input type="checkbox"/> Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27		Application Number	10/765,911-Conf. #2647
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 1,715.00		Filing Date	January 29, 2004
		First Named Inventor	Meri Helms
		Examiner Name	T. T. Nguyen
		Art Unit	2818
		Attorney Docket No.	M4065.0937/P937

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Check	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Credit Card
<input type="checkbox"/> Money Order	<input type="checkbox"/> None
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please identify): _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Deposit Account	Deposit Account Number: <u>04-1073</u> Deposit Account Name: <u>Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky LLP</u>
For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Charge fee(s) indicated below	<input type="checkbox"/> Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayment of fee(s) under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Credit any overpayments

FEE CALCULATION							
1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES							
	FILING FEES		SEARCH FEES		EXAMINATION FEES		
		<u>Small Entity</u>		<u>Small Entity</u>		<u>Small Entity</u>	
Application Type	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fees Paid (\$)
Utility	300	150	500	250	200	100	_____
Design	200	100	100	50	130	65	_____
Plant	200	100	300	150	160	80	_____
Reissue	300	150	500	250	600	300	_____
Provisional	200	100	0	0	0	0	_____
2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES							
Fee Description						<u>Small Entity</u>	
						Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)
Each claim over 20 (including Reissues)						50	25
Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues)						200	100
Multiple dependent claims						360	180
Total Claims	Extra Claims	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)		Multiple Dependent Claims		
<u>77</u>	- 77 =	x	=		Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)	
Indep. Claims	Extra Claims	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)				
<u>6</u>	- 6 =	x	=				
3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE							
If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).							
Total Sheets	Extra Sheets	Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)			
_____	- 100 =	/50	(round up to a whole number) x	=			
4. OTHER FEE(S)							
						Fees Paid (\$)	
Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)							
Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): 1501 Utility issue fee						1,400.00	
1504 Publication fee for early, voluntary, or normal ...						300.00	
8001 Printed copy of patent w/o color						15.00	

SUBMITTED BY			
Signature		Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	28,371
Name (Print/Type)	Thomas J. D'Amico	Telephone	(202) 828-2232
		Date	March 1, 2006



Docket No.: M4065.0937/P937
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Kristy A. Campbell et al.

Group Art Unit: 2818

Application No.: 10/765,911

Examiner: Thinh T. Nguyen

Filed: January 29, 2004

Allowed: December 2, 2005

For: NON-VOLATILE ZERO FIELD SPLITTING
RESONANCE MEMORY

COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S
STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner For Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

While Applicants generally agree with the Examiner's stated reasons for allowance, Applicants note that the reasons for allowance identifies only some of the language of some of the allowed claims. Each of the allowed claims define unique combinations of limitations not found in the prior art. The stated reasons for allowance should be interpreted as highlighting only some of the reasons why the claims are allowable.

Application No.: 10/765,911

Docket No.: M4065.0937/P937

The scope of the claims should be interpreted based on the actual language of the allowed claims; limitations should not be inferred from the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance.

Dated: March 1, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Thomas J. D'Amico

Registration No. 28,371

Ryan H. Flax

Registration No. 48,141

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN &

OSHINSKY LLP

2101 L Street NW

Washington, DC 20037-1526

(202) 785-9700

Attorneys for Applicants